

# Table of Contents

LIST OF EUROPEAN COURT CASES	xix
LIST OF EUROPEAN COMMISSION CASES	xxiii
LIST OF ENGLISH CASES	xxvii
LIST OF TURKISH CASES	xxxii
PREFACE	xxxiii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	xxxv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxxvii
INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER ONE	
AN OVERVIEW OF ARREST AND DETENTION PROVISIONS AND PERTINENT EVALUATIVE STANDARDS	7
A. The European Convention on Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (The Convention)	7
1. Relevant Standards Set by the Convention	7
2. To Whom do the Convention's Standards Apply?	9
3. The Doctrine of the Margin of Appreciation and States' Ability to Derogate from these Standards	11
i. There must be a war or other public emergency threatening the life of the nation	13
ii. The States are allowed to derogate from their obligations only to the extent strictly warranted by the exigencies of the situation	16
iii. Measures must not conflict with the States' other obligations under international law	16
iv. Derogating measures must not affect a non-derogable right	16
v. There must be a valid notice of derogation	17

4. Theoretical Bases of these Standards	17
a) The Crime Control Model	17
b) The Due Process Model	20
c) The Chosen Model; the Model which should Dominate the Criminal Process	24
B. Domestic Laws	28
1. English Law	28
a) Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984 (PACE)	28
b) The Prevention of Terrorism (Temporary Provisions) Act 1989 (PTA)	32
2. Turkish Law	35
a. The Constitution of 1982	37
b. Codes or Statutes	39
(1) The Code of Criminal Procedure of 1929 (CCP)	39
(a) Arrest for Flagrant Offences	40
(b) Arrest for any offence if all the arrest conditions enumerated in Art. 127(1) are met	40
(c) Interference with the police officer's investigatory activities	41
(2) Police Powers and Duties Act of 1934 (PPDA)	44
(3) Anti-Terror Act of 1991	45
CHAPTER TWO	
ARREST AND DETENTION POWERS IN ENGLISH AND TURKISH LAWS AS LAID DOWN IN BOOKS	51
A. Arrest without Warrant	51
1. The Meaning of Arrest	51
a) English Law	51
b) Turkish Law	52
2. The Purpose of Arrest	52
a) English Law	52

b) Turkish Law	53
3. Grounds for Arrest	53
a) English Law	53
(1) Summary Arrest for an Arrestable Offence	54
(a) Summary arrest by any person (including a police officer)	54
(b) Summary arrest by the police	55
(2) Arrest Without Warrant Under General Arrest Power (s.25)	55
b) Turkish Law	58
(1) Arrest by Any Person of Suspect Caught in Flagrante Delicto (Art. 127(1) First Sentence)	58
(2) Arrest by the Police in Respect of Offences where the Suspect is not Caught in Flagrante Delicto; Arrest for Any Offence if all the Arrest Conditions are Met (Art. 127(1) — Second Sentence)	60
(a) The conditions set out in Art. 104 of the CCP must be present	60
(b) The delay in applying for a warrant should be detrimental to the purpose of the arrest	65
(c) There should not be any possibility of an immediate plea to their superiors or to the public prosecutor	65
(3) Arrest of the Persons who are Interfering with the Conduct of the Investigation and who Cannot Prove their Identity	65
4. The Requirement of ‘Reasonable/Great Suspicion’	66
a) English Law	66
(1) The Requirement of ‘Reasonable Suspicion’ under PACE	66
(2) The Requirement of Reasonable Suspicion under the PTA	69
b) Turkish Law	71
5. Information to be Given on Arrest	73

a) English Law	73
b) Turkish Law	75
6. Disposition After Arrest: The Duty to Take the Suspect to a Police Station	77
a) English Law	77
(1) Arrest somewhere other than at a Police Station	77
(2) Delay in Taking the Suspect to the Police Station	77
b) Turkish Law	78
B. Detention Without Charge	79
1. The Meaning of Detention	79
a) English Law	79
b) Turkish Law	80
2. The Role of the Custody Officer During Detention	81
a) English Law	81
b) Turkish Law	82
3. The Decision to Detain or Release	83
a) English Law	83
b) Turkish Law	83
4. Limits on Period of Detention Without Charge	84
a) English Law	84
(1) Limits on Period of Detention under PACE	84
(a) Detention for more than 24 hours, up to 36 hours	84
(b) Detention for more than 36 hours, up to 96 hours	86
(2) Limits on Period of Detention under the PTA	87
b) Turkish Law	87
(1) Detention for 24 and 48 Hours	87
(2) Detention for more than 24 and 48 Hours, up to Four Days	88
(3) Detention for more than Four days, up to Seven Days	88

(4) Detention for more than Seven Days, up to Ten Days	89
5. Calculating Time of Detention	89
a) English Law	89
b) Turkish Law	90
6. Reviews of Detention	90
a) English Law	90
(1) Review of Detention under PACE	90
(2) Review of Detention under the PTA	92
b) Turkish Law	93
C. The Use of Force	93
1. English Law	93
2. Turkish Law	97
D. Treatment of Suspects during Detention	100
1. The Right to Communicate	101
a) English Law	101
b) Turkish Law	103
2. The Right to Legal Advice	106
a) English Law	106
b) Turkish Law	110
3. The Right to Silence and the Presumption of Innocence	114
a) English Law	114
i) Cautioning	119
ii) The Crime of Staying Silent under s.18 of the PTA 1989	120
b) Turkish Law	121
i) Cautioning	125
4. The Right to be Free from the Use of Torture, Inhuman and Degrading Treatment	126
a) English Law	126

b) Turkish Law	128
E. The Influence of the Crown Prosecution Service (CPS)/the Public Prosecutor on the Police Decisions of Arrest and Detention	131
1. English Law	131
2. Turkish Law	133
CHAPTER THREE	
ARREST AND DETENTION POWERS IN ENGLISH AND TURKISH LAWS AS IMPLEMENTED IN PRACTICE	137
A. The Methodology Followed During the Conduct of Research	137
1. England and Wales	137
2. Turkey	137
a) Obtaining Permission to Carry Out this Research	138
b) Restrictions on/Limitations of this Research	139
c) The Period of Time Covered by the Research	140
d) Methods Adopted During the Research	141
B. The Interpretation of Arrest and Detention Powers in Practice by the Police and its Significance for English and Turkish Legal Systems	146
1. Arrest Without Warrant	146
a) The Purpose of Arrest	146
(1) English Law	146
(2) Turkish Law	148
b) The requirement of Reasonable/Great Suspicion	152
(1) English Law	152
(2) Turkish Law	155
c) Information to be Given on Arrest	158
(1) English Law	158
(2) Turkish Law	158
d) Disposition After Arrest	161

(1) English Law	161
(2) Turkish Law	161
2. Detention without Charge	163
a) The Role of the Custody Officer during Detention	163
(1) English Law	163
(2) Turkish Law	165
b) Limits on the Period of Detention	165
(1) English Law	165
(2) Turkish Law	172
c) Reviews of Detention	178
a) English Law	178
b) Turkish Law	178
3. The Use of Force	178
a) English Law	178
b) Turkish Law	180
4. Treatment of Suspects During Detention	184
a) The Right to Communicate	184
(1) English Law	184
(2) Turkish Law	186
b) The Right to Legal Advice	189
(1) English Law	189
(2) Turkish Law	192
c) The Right to Silence and the Presumption of Innocence	196
(1) English Law	196
(2) Turkish Law	199
d) The Right to be Free from Torture, Inhuman and Degrading Treatment	201
(1) English Law	201

(2) Turkish Law	203
5. The Influence of the Crown Prosecution Service/the Public Prosecutor on the Police Decisions of Arrest and Detention	206
a) English Law	206
b) Turkish Law	208
<b>CHAPTER FOUR</b>	
<b>ARREST AND DETENTION STANDARDS IN THE CONVENTION AND THE ASSESSMENT OF THE EXTENT TO WHICH ENGLISH AND TURKISH LAWS AND PRACTICES COMPLY WITH THEM</b>	
	211
A. Arrest and Detention Must be Conducted “In Accordance with a Procedure Prescribed by Law” and Must be “Lawful” (Art. 5(1) of the Convention)	211
The Question of Compliance with the Convention	213
B. The Requirement of Reasonable Suspicion (Art. 5(1)(c) of the Convention)	217
The Question of Compliance with the Convention	220
C. The Purpose of Arrest and Detention Must be to Bring the Suspect Before the Competent Legal Authority (Art. 5(1)(c) of the Convention)	225
The Question of Compliance with the Convention	226
D. The Right to be Informed “Promptly” of the Reasons for Arrest and Detention (Art. 5(2) of the Convention)	228
The Question of Compliance with the Convention	231
E. The Right to be Brought “ <i>Promptly</i> ” Before a Judicial Authority (Art. 5(3) of the Convention)	233
The Question of Compliance with the Convention	238
F. The Right to be Free from the Use of Lethal Force, Except Where Absolutely Necessary, and from Torture, Inhuman and Degrading Treatment in the Course of Arrest and Detention (Arts. 2 & 3 of the Convention)	247
(a) Prohibition of the Use of Lethal Force	247



The Question of Compliance with the Convention	252
(b) Prohibition of Ill-treatment	254
The Question of Compliance with the Convention	259
G. The Right to Have Someone Informed of the Fact of Arrest and Detention (Art. 8 of the Convention)	259
The Question of Compliance with the Convention	261
H. The Right to Silence and the Presumption of Innocence (Art. 6(1), (2) of the Convention)	265
The Question of Compliance with the Convention	270
I. The Right to Legal Assistance at the Police Station (Art. 6(1), (3)(c) of the Convention)	273
The Question of Compliance with the Convention	275
J. The Right to be Free from the Use of Torture, Inhuman and Degrading Treatment During Detention (Art. 3 of the Convention)	278
The Question of Compliance with the Convention	284
CHAPTER FIVE	
REMEDIES AGAINST THE ABUSE OF ARREST AND DETENTION POWERS IN ENGLISH AND TURKISH LAWS AND UNDER THE CONVENTION	
	289
A. Remedies in English and Turkish Law	289
1. Habeas Corpus: The Right to Have the Legality of Detention Scrutinised	290
a) English Law	290
b) Turkish Law	292
2. Civil Actions Against the Police	295
a) English Law	295
(1) Assault and Battery	297
(2) False Imprisonment	298
b) Turkish Law	300
a) There must be an unlawful arrest and detention	300
b) There must be a damage caused by the wrongful act	301

c) The individual police officer must have acted wilfully, negligently or carelessly	302
d) There must be a 'causal connection' between the official's conduct and the damage incurred on the individual	302
(1) Liability of the Administration for "Service Connected Faults"	303
(2) Liability of Police Officers for "Personal Fault"	304
3. Police Complaints System	307
a) English Law	307
(1) An Outline of Complaints Procedure	307
(a) Recording, Classification and Allocation	308
(b) Investigation Procedure	310
(c) Criminal and Disciplinary Procedures	310
(2) Criticism of the Complaints Procedure	312
b) Turkish Law	313
(1) An Outline of the Complaints Procedure	314
(a) Recording the Complaint	314
(b) Investigation of the Complaint	315
(c) The Decision on Disciplinary Punishments	316
(2) Criticism of the Complaints System	319
4. Exclusion of Evidence	321
a) English Law	324
(1) Exclusion under s.76(2)	324
(a) Exclusion for Oppression, s.76(2)(a)	325
(b) Exclusion for Unreliability, s.76(2)(b)	328
(2) Exclusion under PACE s.78	331
b) Turkish Law	339
(1) Exclusion under Art. 135(A): Coerced Confessions	340
(2) Exclusion under Art. 254(2)	343

B. Remedies in the Convention	350
1. The Convention Requires the Existence of Adequate and Effective Safeguards Against the Abuse of Powers in the Law of the Contracting States (Art. 13)	350
2. The Specific Remedies Embodied in the Convention	353
(a) Remedy to Challenge the Legality of Detention (Art. 5(4) of the Convention)	353
(b) Right to Compensation for Illegal Detention (Art. 5(5) of the Convention)	357
C. The Assessment of the Extent to which Remedies Available in the National Laws Comply with the Requirements of Arts. 13, 5(4), (5) of the Convention	359
1. Compliance with Art. 13	359
2. Compliance with Art. 5(4)	360
3. Compliance with Art. 5(5)	361
CHAPTER SIX	
CONCLUSIONS AND SOME RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE REFORMS	363
A. Although English and Turkish laws satisfy the Convention in terms of precision of formulation and accessibility, the due process model of criminal justice requires reform of both aspects in a number of areas. And the Convention standard is unsatisfactory and requires reform (Art. 5(1) of the Convention)	363
1. The Question of Precision in the Formulation of Arrest and Detention Powers	363
2. The Question of Accessibility of the Arrest and Detention Powers	367
B. English and Turkish laws comply with the requirement of reasonable suspicion. But the Convention should consider its notion of 'offence' and police culture should be altered through training and education to ensure that practice as well as the letter of the law conforms to the due process model principles underlying reasonable suspicion (Art. 5(1)(c) of the Convention)	368
C. Even though in accordance with the requirement of the Convention English and Turkish laws require that the ultimate purpose of arrest	

- and detention must be to bring suspects before a competent legal authority, there are practices in both countries which violate this requirement. In a number of areas, a law reform might force the police to change their practices and comply with the standards of the Convention and the due process model (Art. 5(1)(c) of the Convention) 370
- D. Both English and Turkish laws require that suspects must be informed *promptly* of the reasons for their arrest and detention in accordance with the requirements of the Convention and the due process model. But there is a need for the Convention Organs to improve their standards (Art. 5(2) of the Convention) 375
- E. Both in English and Turkish law, ordinary suspects have the right to be brought *promptly* before a judicial authority in the sense understood by the Convention. But unlike the case in Turkish Law, in English Law it is not required that non-terrorist suspects must be brought *promptly* before a judicial authority as stipulated by the Convention. Hence, in English law, there is a need for a law reform to ensure conformity with the standards of the Convention and the ideals of the due process model (Art. 5(3) of the Convention) 377
- F. Although English and Turkish laws require that the police must comply with Articles 2 and 3 of the Convention in effecting arrest and detention, in practice, especially in Turkey, the police do not always follow the standards set by the Convention. Thus, police practice should be altered through training and education. Also, the Convention standard is not satisfactory and requires reform 379
- G. Even though both English and Turkish laws, as required by the Convention, in principle give suspects the right to have someone informed of the fact of their arrest and detention, the law in both countries contains feaures which violate the standards set both by the Convention and the due process model. Hence, there is a need for a legal reform in both jurisdictions 382
- H. Save s.18 of English PTA and s.135 of Turkish CCP, which denies the appropriate delivery of warning as to the legal effect of maintaining silence during questioning, the law in both countries is in line with the standards set by Art. 6(1)(2) of the Convention. Hence there is a need for a legal reform. Also the Convention standard regarding the interpretation of Art. 6(1) is not satisfactory and requires reform 385

I. In England and Turkey, although the law obliges the police to presume suspects until proven guilty, as demanded by Art. 6(2), in both countries in practice this principle has not been included into the working practices of the police. And the Convention standard regarding the interpretation of Art. 6(2) is not satisfactory and requires reform	387
J. Even though, as demanded by Art. 6(1)(3)(c) of the Convention, in principle both English Turkish law give the right to legal assistance to suspects, in Turkish law the denial of this right to terrorist suspects for 96 hours violates the Convention. And also s.58 of PACE, which enables the police to impose restrictions on the exercise of this right for 48 hours, is not in line with standards of the Convention. Thus, there is a need for a legal reform in both countries. And there is also a need for the Convention Organs to improve their standards	389
K. Despite the fact that in accordance with Art. 3 of the Convention both English and Turkish laws require that suspects must be free from the use of torture, inhuman and degrading treatment during detention, in Turkey the police need more time to eradicate individual practices of torture, inhuman and degrading treatment. Hence, there is a need for legal reform to help eradicate such practices. And the Convention Organs also need to improve standards	393
L. In both England and Turkey there is an effective remedy in the sense understood by the Convention to test the substance of the claim that the Convention has been violated (Art. 13 of the Convention)	404
M. Other measures should accompany the law reform to make the police comply with the requirements of the law	407
BIBLIOGRAPHY	409
INDEX	427